

1 David S. Gingras, #021097
2 **Gingras Law Office, PLLC**
3 4802 E. Ray Road, #23-271
4 Phoenix, AZ 85044
Tel.: (480) 264-1400
Fax: (480) 248-3196
David@GingrasLaw.com

5 John B. Berryhill, Esq. (PA Bar # 83911; *Pro Hac Vice Application Pending*)
6 **John B. Berryhill LLC**
7 1300 MacDade Blvd., Suite 1
Folsom, PA 19033
(610) 565-5601 (voice/fax)
john@johnberryhill.com

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
Domdevelo OÜ

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

16 Domdevelo OÜ,
An Estonian Limited Company,

Plaintiff.

10

20
Foris Limited,
A Hong Kong Limited Company.

22 || Defendant

Case No. 24-cv-3667-JZB

**NOTICE RE: NON-APPLICABILITY OF
FORM AO120 - REPORT ON THE
FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN
ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR
TRADEMARK**

24 On December 23, 2024 this Court issued a standard minute order (Doc. 6)
25 requesting that Plaintiff Domdevelo OÜ, submit Form AO120 relating to the filing of an
26 action regarding a patent or trademark. In its order, the Court required Plaintiff to either
27 file a Form AO120, *or* submit a notice explaining why the form is not necessary.

1 In response, Plaintiff files the following notice. Form AO120 is not required in
2 this action because the mark(s) at issue in this case is/are not registered with the United
3 States Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO).

4 Form AO121 is required to help the Clerk of Court comply with the requirements
5 of 15 U.S.C. § 1116(c) which provides, in relevant part:

6 **(c) Notice to Director**

7 It shall be the duty of the clerks of such courts within one month after the
8 filing of any action, suit, or proceeding involving **a mark registered** under
9 the provisions of this chapter to give notice thereof in writing to the
10 Director setting forth in order so far as known the names and addresses of
11 the litigants and the designating **number or numbers of the registration**
12 **or registrations** upon which the action, suit, or proceeding has been
13 brought (emphasis added).

14 Here, this case involves claims brought under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d), also known
15 as the “Anti-cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act” or “ACPA”. The ACPA applies
16 to protect both “federally-registered marks and unregistered marks.” *ICON Health &*
17 *Fitness Inc. v. pro-form.com*, 2017 WL 4797794, at *4 (D. Ariz. Sept. 12, 2017)
(emphasis added) (citing extensive authority).

18 In short, this case involves claims arising under the Lanham Act (the ACPA), but
19 it does not involve any federally-registered marks owned by Defendant Foris Limited.
20 Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is not required by 15 U.S.C. § 1116(c) to give any
21 notice to the USPTO relating to this action as it relates to an unregistered mark. For that
22 reason, Form AO120 (which simply requests the registration numbers of Plaintiff’s
23 marks) is not required in this case.

24 DATED: December 30, 2024.

25 **GINGRAS LAW OFFICE, PLLC**



26 David S. Gingras, Esq.
27 Attorney for Plaintiff
28 Domdevelo OÜ